

Advanced Fire Detection Concepts Satellite and Non-Satellite Techniques




Scope of Task



- **Technical Scope: Identify alternative methods for detecting and monitoring wildfires**
- **Deliverable: 1st draft report describing alternative detection and monitoring methodologies**
- **Period of Performance: now until September 30**
- **Team:**
 - **Tony Vodacek**
 - **John Schott**
 - **Bob Kremens**
 - **Scott Brown**
 - **Rolando Raqueno**
 - **Lee Sanders (ERIM)**
 - **Graduate student gang: Stef, Dom, Andy**

We will investigate the physical effects of fire and discuss feasibility with the forest fire community




Phenomenology of Fires



- The physical characteristics of fires will be identified
 - **Electromagnetic energy spectra**
 - » Thermal
 - » Narrow emission lines (high spectral energy density)
 - » radio waves (microwave, and lower frequencies, passive and active)
 - **Gaseous and particulate emissions**
 - » Combustion products
 - Smoke (particulates)
 - CO₂
 - Water vapor
 - » Products of pyrolytic reactions
 - » Post-fire residues
 - **Probe techniques**
 - » Optical probes (laser induced fluorescence, lidar, reflectometry, IR, visible)
 - » RADAR
 - » Chemical probes

Wildland fire produces a wealth of detectable phenomena



- EM emissions from UV to Radio, gaseous and particulate products, and modification to the local environment

Specific questions....



- **Optical (UV to LWIR) :**

Are we missing anything? Combinations of wavelengths? Change algorithms?

- **Passive microwave:**

With current noise figures, can the thermal signature at some wavelength be detected? Is the atmosphere transparent to this frequency?

- **Gaseous emissions:**

What are the primary gaseous decomposition products prior to a fire? Can these be detected by LIF or other methods? Does the high concentration of CO_2 , CO and H_2O near a fire change the scene at any wavelength in a useful way? Can gaseous absorption features be used in concert with other detection methods?

Specific questions....(2)



- Smoke and particulates:

Can smoke be detected more easily than fires? Is woodland smoke peculiar to the woodland, or is all smoke about the same?

- Radioactivity?

Plants sequester many elements in concentrations up to 10^9 times that found in their environment (e.g. tobacco and Am^{241}) Is there a detectable radioactive release of these sequestered materials upon combustion?

We are investigating previous detection techniques from many fields



- An extensive literature search is being performed in the following disciplines:
 - **Optical methods**
 - » Smoke detectors
 - » Optical emissions
 - Previous satellite efforts in the IR and visible
 - Line emissions
 - Unique combinations of optical signatures
 - **Materials evolved from combustion**
 - » Gas detectors (hydrocarbon detectors - CO to complex HC)
 - » Particulates (smoke detectors, non-optical)
 - **Radio frequency techniques**
 - » RADAR reflection cross sections for low temperature (~1000K) fires (Veridian)
 - » Passive microwave (weapons fusing)

Detector concepts will be developed for the most promising fire phenomena



- Likely detection methods and combinations of methods will be developed
- Interaction with fire fighting community essential to provide user with desired tools
- System engineering - The needs of the community should be met by an understanding of integrated communication, deployment, field use models, etc.

Mathematical sensor models will be constructed and several prototypes built



- Known stimuli (from measurements) will be applied (in simulation) to promising sensors (plume models applied later)
- Continue physical characterization of burning biomass
 - Local controlled burns (Ft. Drum, Finger Lakes National Forest)
 - At USFS Firelab in Missoula, MT
- Low cost proof-of-principle prototype will be constructed (as student project) and tested at controlled burn

Our deliverable (report) will have the following format:



- A section covering the basic physics of fires
- Analysis sections with the following form:
 - **Emission/phenomena physical background (~few paragraphs)**
 - **Literature search results**
 - » Cited references
 - » Copies of relevant seminal papers
 - **Back-of-the-envelope calculations for the phenomena,**
 - » e.g., there is a plume of CO at a 10 ha fire of XX ppm. Present cheap detectors detect CO at the 0.0YY ppm level. What is the range of a CO detector on a fire of this size?
 - **Feasibility projection**

Example: radio-linked aircraft-deployed smoke detector



- Conventional ionization chamber smoke detector
- False alarm prevention (CO monitor?)
- Low data rate radio link
- Reports to field deployed central transmitter
- Inexpensive (< \$75)
- CE Student senior project (A. Seema), maybe with LACOMS

