

ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
 STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION

Spring 20023

COURSE: 51 553 01

INSTRUCTOR: Salvaggio C (7039)

Current Quarter:

- SECT - Percentage for this instructor in this section
- CRSE - Percentage for all sections of this course
- INST - Percentage for all courses taught by this instructor
- DEPT - Percentage for all courses taught by this department
- COLL - Percentage for all courses taught by the College of Science

Cumulative since Fall 1977

- CRSE - Percentage for all sections of this course
- INST - Percentage for all courses taught by this instructor
- DEPT - Percentage for all courses taught by this department
- COLL - Percentage for all courses taught by the College of Science

Both:

- N - Number of responses to this question

*** CURRENT QUARTER ***
 SECT CRSE INST DEPT COLL

*** CUMULATIVE ***
 CRSE INST DEPT COLL

THE COURSE

THE COURSE	N=	SECT	CRSE	INST	DEPT	COLL	CRSE	INST	DEPT	COLL
1. What is your present feeling about how much you learned in this course?										
(a) I learned an exceptional amount about the subject.	100	100	75	47	30	51	72	38	27	27
(b) I learned a lot.	0	0	25	38	39	30	23	41	40	40
(c) I learned a moderate amount.	0	0	0	12	21	16	6	17	23	23
(d) I learned little.	0	0	0	3	8	3	0	4	8	8
(e) I learned practically nothing.	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	2
2. What is your opinion of the principal course material of this course? (Omit if there was no text.)										
(a) Exceptionally good materials for the course.	100	100	70	30	19	39	45	27	19	19
(b) Good materials for the course.	0	0	30	40	48	36	33	45	48	48
(c) Adequate materials for the course.	0	0	0	22	23	18	16	22	23	23
(d) The materials were somewhat deficient or inadequate.	0	0	0	8	7	0	6	5	7	7
(e) Decidedly inferior materials.	0	0	0	1	3	6	0	1	2	2
3. In general, how do you feel about the assignments.										
(a) This question is not applicable.	75	75	33	15	13	16	9	10	14	14
(b) Work was relevant to course; the amount was about right.	25	25	67	78	72	68	87	73	70	70
(c) work was relevant to course; but amount was too much.	0	0	0	2	10	11	4	10	11	11
(d) Assignments were not relevant to the course.	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	2
(e) More assignments would be helpful.	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	7	4	4
4. How adequate was your background for this course?										
(a) Background courses were satisfactory.	75	75	83	83	81	78	71	78	79	79
(b) Not adequate because previous background courses were too elementary.	25	25	17	9	7	14	17	9	8	8
(c) Not adequate because previous background courses were poorly taught.	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	3	6	6
(d) Both (b) and (c).	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	1	1	1
(e) Not adequate for other reasons.	0	0	0	5	5	6	10	10	6	6

THE INSTRUCTOR

5. Was the instructor's presentation effective in helping you understand the material associated with the course?										
(a) Extremely effective.	100	100	100	51	38	46	85	42	35	35
(b) Quite good.	0	0	0	32	33	43	9	40	34	34
(c) Adequate.	0	0	0	15	18	5	6	15	19	19

(d) Less than helpful.				0	0	0	2	7	5	0	2	8
(e) Not helpful at all.				0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	3
6. How do you feel about the instructor's handling of class procedures (planning work, making assignments, announcing quizzes, etc.)	N=	4	4	12	113	4406			37	53	1173	32102
(a) Highly systematic, well organized.		75	75	83	62	58			73	85	55	57
(b) Moderately well organized.		25	25	17	33	29			24	15	35	29
(c) Somewhat organized.		0	0	0	4	8			3	0	8	9
(d) Organization inadequate.		0	0	0	1	2			0	0	1	2
(e) Confusing, unsystematic.		0	0	0	0	2			0	0	0	2
7. Was the instructor receptive and responsive to students' questions?	N=	4	4	12	113	4403			37	53	1167	32054
(a) Encouraged questions; answered them very effectively.		75	75	92	77	63			81	98	74	60
(b) Encouraged questions; answered them adequately.		25	25	8	21	25			19	2	23	26
(c) Did not particularly encourage questions, but answered them.		0	0	0	2	8			0	0	3	8
(d) Was ineffective in understanding and answering questions.		0	0	0	0	3			0	0	1	4
(e) Tended to discourage questions in class.		0	0	0	0	1			0	0	0	1
8. How accessible and helpful was the instructor?	N=	4	4	12	113	4399			37	53	1173	32040
(a) Usually accessible and helpful.		100	100	83	73	57			70	89	68	57
(b) Usually accessible but not helpful.		0	0	0	3	6			11	0	4	6
(c) Rarely accessible but helpful.		0	0	8	9	5			3	6	7	5
(d) Rarely accessible and not helpful.		0	0	0	0	1			0	0	1	2
(e) I never sought assistance.		0	0	8	15	31			16	6	20	30
9. How intellectually stimulating was the instructor?	N=	4	4	12	112	4377			37	53	1165	31853
(a) Outstanding in this respect.		100	100	100	50	27			38	62	31	24
(b) Very intellectually stimulating and challenging.		0	0	0	26	34			41	34	43	34
(c) Moderately stimulating and thought provoking.		0	0	0	21	23			14	4	19	24
(d) Somewhat thought-provoking.		0	0	0	2	8			5	0	5	9
(e) Not stimulating.		0	0	0	2	8			3	0	1	8
EXAMINATIONS												

10. With regard to the number of examinations and/or quizzes in this course, there were:	N=	4	4	12	111	4339			36	53	1077	31538
(a) Too few.		0	0	8	11	9			11	4	11	11
(b) About the right number.		100	100	92	86	87			86	91	86	85
(c) Too many.		0	0	0	3	4			3	6	3	4
11. In general, how did you feel about the clearness of the examination questions?	N=	4	4	12	107	4320			36	53	1066	31412
(a) Consistently very clear.		100	100	100	58	56			61	87	55	54
(b) Moderately clear.		0	0	0	37	38			36	13	40	39
(c) Often vague and ambiguous.		0	0	0	5	6			3	0	4	7
12. Concerning the relevance of test questions to the course content, the questions provided	N=	4	4	12	107	4295			36	53	1061	31235
(a) Complete coverage of important points.		75	75	92	52	54			47	81	51	51
(b) Adequate overall coverage.		25	25	8	39	37			53	17	43	39
(c) Poor overall coverage.		0	0	0	2	3			0	0	3	3
(d) Too much emphasis on details.		0	0	0	7	6			0	2	4	6
13. Concerning strictness in grading examinations, the standards were	N=	4	4	12	107	4317			36	53	1066	31381
(a) Unreasonably strict.		0	0	8	7	8			6	4	5	9
(b) Strict but fair.		0	0	8	30	37			22	13	31	37
(c) About right.		100	100	83	64	55			72	83	63	54
(d) Too lenient.		0	0	0	0	1			0	0	1	1
14. With regard to consistency in grading the examination(s), the standards were	N=	4	4	12	106	4299			36	53	1056	31271
(a) Always consistent.		100	100	92	67	69			83	94	65	67
(b) Usually consistent.		0	0	8	33	28			17	6	33	30
(c) Seldom consistent.		0	0	0	0	2			0	0	1	3

LABORATORY

15. In general, were the laboratory experiments of value in developing techniques and experience or in contributing to understanding of the subject?	N=	0	0	0	24	1726	0	0	234	11420
(a) Extremely valuable.		0	0	0	25	28	0	0	26	25
(b) Very valuable.		0	0	0	58	35	0	0	46	37
(c) Moderately valuable.		0	0	0	8	25	0	0	16	26
(d) Somewhat valuable.		0	0	0	4	8	0	0	7	8
(e) Of little value.		0	0	0	4	5	0	0	5	4
16. In explaining the laboratory and assisting the student during the laboratory, the instructor was	N=	0	0	0	24	1725	0	0	233	11413
(a) Very helpful.		0	0	0	50	66	0	0	49	64
(b) Sometimes helpful.		0	0	0	46	25	0	0	43	27
(c) Of little help.		0	0	0	4	7	0	0	6	7
(d) Seriously deficient.		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
17. Was the laboratory instructor receptive and responsive to students questions?	N=	0	0	0	24	1722	0	0	229	11382
(a) Encouraged questions; answered them effectively.		0	0	0	46	57	0	0	58	56
(b) Encouraged questions; answered them adequately.		0	0	0	46	22	0	0	31	25
(c) Did not particularly encourage questions, but answered them.		0	0	0	4	17	0	0	8	15
(d) Was ineffective in understanding and answering questions.		0	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	4
(e) Tended to discourage questions in class.		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
18. How available and helpful was the laboratory instructor outside of class?	N=	0	0	0	23	1718	0	0	233	11392
(a) Usually available and helpful.		0	0	0	65	39	0	0	50	39
(b) Usually available but not helpful.		0	0	0	22	7	0	0	12	8
(c) Rarely available but helpful.		0	0	0	4	7	0	0	5	6
(d) Rarely available and not helpful.		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	2
(e) I don't know I never sought assistance.		0	0	0	9	45	0	0	30	46
19. For the laboratory, the written materials, manuals, instruction sheets, etc. were	N=	0	0	0	24	1713	0	0	234	11357
(a) Extremely helpful.		0	0	0	17	31	0	0	23	26
(b) Very helpful.		0	0	0	29	32	0	0	39	37
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	29	25	0	0	26	26
(d) Somewhat helpful.		0	0	0	13	9	0	0	7	8
(e) Not helpful.		0	0	0	13	4	0	0	4	3
20. Concerning the grading of laboratory notebooks and reports, the instructor was	N=	0	0	0	24	1718	0	0	232	11347
(a) Usually fair and thorough.		0	0	0	38	68	0	0	59	68
(b) Usually too strict, but thorough.		0	0	0	50	18	0	0	28	18
(c) Often not thorough.		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	3
(d) This question does not apply.		0	0	0	13	10	0	0	9	10
21. Concerning the organization of the laboratory, the materials, supplies and room were usually	N=	0	0	0	24	1715	0	0	230	11359
(a) Very well organized, neat.		0	0	0	42	61	0	0	43	61
(b) Moderately well organized, adequately neat.		0	0	0	46	35	0	0	49	35
(c) Poorly organized; Lacking neatness.		0	0	0	13	4	0	0	8	4
DISTANCE LEARNING										
22. How well did the printed, CD, or Web material, provided to the beginning of the course, describe how the course would operate?	N=	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	35	258
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	21	0	0	40	22
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	13	0	0	29	26
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	13
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	4
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	58	0	0	23	35
23. The technical quality of the lessons presented on video, TV, or over the Web was	N=	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	32	223
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	18	0	0	41	30

(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	29	0	0	22
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	14
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	47	0	0	9
24. The instructional quality of the lessons on video, TV or over the web was	N=	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	32
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	20	0	0	31
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	27	0	0	44
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	53	0	0	16
25. The Web access to course materials was	N=	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	32
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	25	0	0	28
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	19	0	0	41
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	16
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	50	0	0	9
26. Communications with other students in the class was	N=	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	33
(a) Very valuable.		0	0	0	0	69	0	0	21
(b) Somewhat valuable.		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	61
(c) Not valuable.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
(d) Too complicated to bother with.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	23	0	0	9
27. Compared with a face-to-face version of this course, this distance learning course was	N=	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	26
(a) Superior.		0	0	0	0	38	0	0	19
(b) Better.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
(c) The same.		0	0	0	0	13	0	0	42
(d) Inferior.		0	0	0	0	13	0	0	23
(e) Very inferior		0	0	0	0	38	0	0	4

ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
 STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION

Spring 20033

COURSE: 51 553 01

INSTRUCTOR: Salvaggio C (7039)

Current Quarter:
 SECT - Percentage for this instructor in this section
 CRSE - Percentage for all sections of this course
 INST - Percentage for all courses taught by this instructor
 DEPT - Percentage for all courses taught by this department
 COLL - Percentage for all courses taught by the College of Science
 Cumulative since Fall 1977
 CRSE - Percentage for all sections of this course
 INST - Percentage for all courses taught by this instructor
 DEPT - Percentage for all courses taught by this department
 COLL - Percentage for all courses taught by the College of Science

Both:
 N - Number of responses to this question

THE COURSE	* * * CURRENT QUARTER * * *					* * * CUMULATIVE * * *				
	SECT	CRSE	INST	DEPT	COLL	CRSE	INST	DEPT	COLL	
1. What is your present feeling about how much you learned in this course?										
(a) I learned an exceptional amount about the subject.	N=	3	3	11	129	4838	49	113	1768	48511
(b) I learned a lot.		33	33	45	43	30	45	57	38	27
(c) I learned a moderate amount.		67	67	36	43	39	35	33	42	39
(d) I learned little.		0	0	9	9	22	18	10	16	23
(e) I learned practically nothing.		0	0	9	5	7	2	1	4	8
2. What is your opinion of the principal course material of this course? (Omit if there was no text.)										
(a) Exceptionally good materials for the course.	N=	3	3	11	127	4823	44	110	1745	48299
(b) Good materials for the course.		33	33	36	24	19	34	35	27	19
(c) Adequate materials for the course.		0	0	27	39	47	34	38	45	48
(d) The materials were somewhat deficient or inadequate.		67	67	36	23	25	27	23	21	24
(e) Decidedly inferior materials.		0	0	0	8	7	0	4	5	7
3. In general, how do you feel about the assignments.										
(a) This question is not applicable.	N=	3	3	11	128	4659	49	113	1765	48015
(b) Work was relevant to course; the amount was about right.		33	33	9	13	13	18	10	11	14
(c) work was relevant to course; but amount was too much.		67	67	64	69	68	69	82	71	69
(d) Assignments were not relevant to the course.		0	0	27	10	12	8	6	10	11
(e) More assignments would be helpful.		0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2
4. How adequate was your background for this course?										
(a) Background courses were satisfactory.	N=	3	3	11	129	4824	48	112	1756	48262
(b) Not adequate because previous background courses were too elementary.		100	100	91	87	80	75	69	78	78
(c) Not adequate because previous background courses were poorly taught.		0	0	0	9	8	13	12	8	8
(d) Both (b) and (c).		0	0	0	0	6	6	5	3	6
(e) Not adequate for other reasons.		0	0	9	1	1	2	3	1	1
THE INSTRUCTOR										
5. Was the instructor's presentation effective in helping you understand the material associated with the course?										
(a) Extremely effective.	N=	3	3	11	128	4839	49	113	1764	48466
(b) Quite good.		67	67	73	45	36	47	71	43	36
(c) Adequate.		33	33	18	35	34	39	20	38	34
		0	0	9	16	19	10	9	16	19

(d) Less than helpful.	0	0	0	4	7	4	0	3	8	
(e) Not helpful at all.	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	3	
6. How do you feel about the instructor's handling of class procedures(planning work, making assignments, announcing quizzes, etc.)	N=	3	3	11	128	4837	49	113	1766	48446
(a) Highly systematic,well organized.	67	67	64	59	55	71	77	57	56	
(b) Moderately well organized.	0	0	18	27	30	24	19	33	30	
(c) Somewhat organized.	33	33	18	10	10	4	4	8	10	
(d) Organization inadequate.	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	3	
(e) Confusing, unsystematic.	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	2	
7. Was the instructor receptive and responsive to students' questions?	N=	3	3	11	128	4831	49	113	1758	48382
(a) Encouraged questions; answered them very effectively.	100	100	91	77	62	86	96	73	60	
(b) Encouraged questions; answered them adequately.	0	0	9	16	24	14	4	23	26	
(c) Did not particularly encourage questions, but answered them.	0	0	0	4	8	0	0	3	8	
(d) Was ineffective in understanding and answering questions.	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	4	
(e) Tended to discourage questions in class.	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	
8. How accessible and helpful was the instructor?	N=	3	3	11	128	4835	49	112	1764	48372
(a) Usually accessible and helpful.	100	100	73	73	58	73	85	70	57	
(b) Usually accessible but not helpful.	0	0	0	5	6	8	0	4	7	
(c) Rarely accessible but helpful.	0	0	18	9	4	6	11	7	5	
(d) Rarely accessible and not helpful.	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	2	
(e) I never sought assistance.	0	0	9	11	30	12	4	18	30	
9. How intellectually stimulating was the instructor?	N=	3	3	11	128	4800	49	113	1751	48081
(a) Outstanding in this respect.	67	67	55	41	28	35	50	33	25	
(b) Very intellectually stimulating and challenging.	33	33	36	36	33	45	41	41	34	
(c) Moderately stimulating and thought provoking.	0	0	0	14	23	12	6	19	24	
(d) Somewhat thought-provoking.	0	0	9	6	9	6	3	5	9	
(e) Not stimulating.	0	0	0	3	7	2	0	2	8	
EXAMINATIONS										

10. With regard to the number of examinations and/or quizzes in this course, there were:	N=	1	1	9	104	4548	42	107	1630	47219
(a) Too few.	0	0	22	14	9	12	12	12	11	
(b) About the right number.	100	100	78	84	87	86	85	86	85	
(c) Too many.	0	0	0	2	3	2	3	2	4	
11. In general, how did you feel about the clearness of the examination questions?	N=	1	1	9	100	4531	42	107	1614	47050
(a) Consistently very clear.	100	100	67	51	55	64	80	54	54	
(b) Moderately clear.	0	0	22	42	38	33	18	40	39	
(c) Often vague and ambiguous.	0	0	11	7	7	2	2	5	7	
12. Concerning the relevance of test questions to the course content, the questions provided	N=	1	1	9	99	4512	42	107	1606	46801
(a) Complete coverage of important points.	100	100	33	54	54	52	73	52	52	
(b) Adequate overall coverage.	0	0	56	39	37	48	23	41	39	
(c) Poor overall coverage.	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	4	
(d) Too much emphasis on details.	0	0	11	6	5	0	4	4	6	
13. Concerning strictness in grading examinations, the standards were	N=	1	1	9	98	4518	42	107	1609	46992
(a) Unreasonably strict.	0	0	11	8	10	5	3	6	9	
(b) Strict but fair.	0	0	33	39	37	21	21	34	37	
(c) About right.	100	100	56	53	52	74	76	60	53	
(d) Too lenient.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
14. With regard to consistency in grading the examination(s), the standards were	N=	1	1	9	99	4509	42	107	1595	46822
(a) Always consistent.	100	100	67	63	67	86	87	67	67	
(b) Usually consistent.	0	0	22	34	29	14	12	32	30	
(c) Seldom consistent.	0	0	11	3	4	0	1	2	3	

LABORATORY

15. In general, were the laboratory experiments of value in developing techniques and experience or in contributing to understanding of the subject?	N=	0	0	0	43	1863	0	0	479	17494
(a) Extremely valuable.		0	0	0	37	26	0	0	26	25
(b) Very valuable.		0	0	0	33	34	0	0	42	36
(c) Moderately valuable.		0	0	0	19	26	0	0	20	26
(d) Somewhat valuable.		0	0	0	2	9	0	0	6	8
(e) Of little value.		0	0	0	9	6	0	0	5	5
16. In explaining the laboratory and assisting the student during the laboratory, the instructor was	N=	0	0	0	43	1863	0	0	478	17490
(a) Very helpful.		0	0	0	65	56	0	0	55	62
(b) Sometimes helpful.		0	0	0	26	32	0	0	35	28
(c) Of little help.		0	0	0	5	9	0	0	7	7
(d) Seriously deficient.		0	0	0	5	3	0	0	3	2
17. Was the laboratory instructor receptive and responsive to students questions?	N=	0	0	0	43	1861	0	0	473	17445
(a) Encouraged questions; answered them effectively.		0	0	0	67	51	0	0	59	55
(b) Encouraged questions; answered them adequately.		0	0	0	26	27	0	0	29	26
(c) Did not particularly encourage questions, but answered them.		0	0	0	0	15	0	0	9	15
(d) Was ineffective in understanding and answering questions.		0	0	0	7	6	0	0	3	4
(e) Tended to discourage questions in class.		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
18. How available and helpful was the laboratory instructor outside of class?	N=	0	0	0	43	1863	0	0	476	17456
(a) Usually available and helpful.		0	0	0	70	44	0	0	52	40
(b) Usually available but not helpful.		0	0	0	9	9	0	0	12	8
(c) Rarely available but helpful.		0	0	0	2	5	0	0	6	6
(d) Rarely available and not helpful.		0	0	0	9	3	0	0	3	2
(e) I don't know I never sought assistance.		0	0	0	9	38	0	0	28	44
19. For the laboratory, the written materials, manuals, instruction sheets, etc. were	N=	0	0	0	42	1857	0	0	477	17411
(a) Extremely helpful.		0	0	0	21	25	0	0	25	26
(b) Very helpful.		0	0	0	43	33	0	0	38	36
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	17	27	0	0	26	26
(d) Somewhat helpful.		0	0	0	10	9	0	0	7	8
(e) Not helpful.		0	0	0	10	6	0	0	5	4
20. Concerning the grading of laboratory notebooks and reports, The instructor was	N=	0	0	0	42	1860	0	0	475	17396
(a) Usually fair and thorough.		0	0	0	57	62	0	0	61	67
(b) Usually too strict, but thorough.		0	0	0	36	25	0	0	29	19
(c) Often not thorough.		0	0	0	2	5	0	0	4	4
(d) This question does not apply.		0	0	0	5	8	0	0	6	10
21. Concerning the organization of the laboratory, the materials, supplies and room were usually	N=	0	0	0	43	1859	0	0	474	17409
(a) Very well organized, neat.		0	0	0	28	55	0	0	44	60
(b) Moderately well organized, adequately neat.		0	0	0	60	39	0	0	47	36
(c) Poorly organized; Lacking neatness.		0	0	0	12	5	0	0	9	5
DISTANCE LEARNING										
22. How well did the printed, CD, or Web material, provided to the beginning of the course, describe how the course would operate?	N=	0	0	0	0	88	0	0	84	527
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	10	0	0	26	18
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	24	0	0	25	25
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	17	0	0	8	14
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	7
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	35	0	0	40	37
23. The technical quality of the lessons presented on video, TV, or over the Web was	N=	0	0	0	0	75	0	0	76	451
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	16	0	0	25	22

(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	23	0	0	32	24
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	19	0	0	8	13
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	5
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	37	0	0	32	36
24. The instructional quality of the lessons on video, TV or over the web was	N=	0	0	0	0	72	0	0	79	435
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	14	0	0	23	18
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	24	0	0	30	26
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	18	0	0	8	13
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	6
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	36	0	0	38	38
25. The Web access to course materials was	N=	0	0	0	0	69	0	0	76	421
(a) Very good.		0	0	0	0	19	0	0	34	25
(b) Good.		0	0	0	0	29	0	0	32	28
(c) Adequate.		0	0	0	0	25	0	0	3	13
(d) Inadequate.		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	7	7
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	23	0	0	25	28
26. Communications with other students in the class was	N=	0	0	0	0	70	0	0	75	424
(a) Very valuable.		0	0	0	0	36	0	0	17	28
(b) Somewhat valuable.		0	0	0	0	27	0	0	45	29
(c) Not valuable.		0	0	0	0	10	0	0	5	9
(d) Too complicated to bother with.		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	3
(e) Not applicable.		0	0	0	0	23	0	0	29	31
27. Compared with a face-to-face version of this course, this distance learning course was	N=	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	47	304
(a) Superior.		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	15	12
(b) Better.		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	9	16
(c) The same.		0	0	0	0	34	0	0	30	27
(d) Inferior.		0	0	0	0	18	0	0	19	17
(e) Very inferior		0	0	0	0	34	0	0	28	29

DISTANCE LEARNING

22. How well did the <u>printed, CD, or Web material</u> , provided prior to the beginning of the course, describe how the course would operate? (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
23. The <u>technical quality</u> of the lessons presented on video, TV, or over the Web was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
24. The <u>instructional quality</u> of the lessons on video, TV, or over the Web was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
25. The <u>Web access</u> to course materials was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
26. <u>Communication with other students</u> in the class was (a) very valuable. (b) somewhat valuable. (c) not valuable. (d) too complicated to bother with. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
27. Compared with a <u>face-to-face version</u> of this course, this distance learning course was (a) superior. (b) better. (c) the same. (d) inferior. (e) very inferior.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)

The College of Science is particularly interested in your own comments and suggestions. In the space below please tell us in your own words your thoughts on any or all of the following.

- the instructor
- the course materials (notes, textbook, videos, etc.)
- the technology (computers, FirstClass, CourseInfo, etc.)
- general comments on other aspects of the course (lecture style, distance learning mode, etc.)

Instructor Name Salvaggio Course Number 5155301

Instructor Number 7039 Term 2003-3 Quarter Spring

I wish Carl could teach all my classes.
He is that effective in conveying the material and applying it.

DISTANCE LEARNING

22. How well did the <u>printed, CD, or Web material</u> , provided prior to the beginning of the course, describe how the course would operate? (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
23. The <u>technical quality</u> of the lessons presented on video, TV, or over the Web was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
24. The <u>instructional quality</u> of the lessons on video, TV, or over the Web was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
25. The <u>Web access</u> to course materials was (a) very good. (b) good. (c) adequate. (d) inadequate. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
26. <u>Communication with other students</u> in the class was (a) very valuable. (b) somewhat valuable. (c) not valuable. (d) too complicated to bother with. (e) not applicable.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
27. Compared with a <u>face-to-face version</u> of this course, this distance learning course was (a) superior. (b) better. (c) the same. (d) inferior. (e) very inferior.	(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)

The College of Science is particularly interested in your own comments and suggestions. In the space below please tell us in your own words your thoughts on any or all of the following.

- the instructor
- the course materials (notes, textbook, videos, etc.)
- the technology (computers, FirstClass, CourseInfo, etc.)
- general comments on other aspects of the course (lecture style, distance learning mode, etc.)

Instructor Name <u>Salvaggio</u>	Course Number <u>5155301</u>
Instructor Number <u>7039</u>	Term <u>2003-3</u> Quarter <u>Spring</u>

Interesting class concept and layout
 I believe this course will definitely benefit
 me when planning my senior project
 time table